Adopted Van Community Council 15th June 2023 min9



The Code of Conduct

for members of local authorities in Wales

Guidance from the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales for members of community and town councils

Preface

This revised guide (published 2021) from me as Public Services Ombudsman for Wales provides an overview of the Model Code of Conduct ("the Code)" introduced in 2008 (as amended on 1 April 2016). It is intended to help you as a member to understand your obligations under the Code. The Code applies to all members and co-opted members (with voting rights) of county and county borough councils, community councils,¹ fire and rescue authorities, national park authorities and police and crime panels in Wales.

As an elected member, you are required to sign upa to the Code as part of your declaration of acceptance of office. As a co-opted member, you must give a written undertaking to observe the Code when you take up office. The Code does not apply to the actions of authorities as a whole, or to the conduct of their officers and employees. There is a separate Code of Conduct applying to local government employees in Wales.²

This version of my guidance is aimed at community and town councillors (referred to throughout this guidance as community councillors). It differs in parts from my separate guidance to county councillors and members of other relevant authorities, as it recognises and is tailored to the different nature of the role that community councillors undertake in their communities.

It is important to recognise that the Code's primary purpose is not to restrict the way in which you act as a member, rather it is intended to help and guide you in maintaining appropriate standards of conduct when serving your community. In turn, it provides reassurance to the public and helps build their trust in, and respect for, their local representatives.

Where councillors, clerk and chair of the council work together effectively as a team, they combine energies and skills to deliver real benefits to the community they serve. Good working relationships, mutual respect and an understanding of their different roles are vital. Conflict between these key players, especially during meetings in front of the press and public can damage the council and undermine its relationship with the people served by the council.

¹ In legislation, 'community council' includes a 'town council'.

²Code of Conduct (Qualifying Local Government Employees) (Wales) Order 2001, SI 2001 No. 2280 (W.170)

This guidance aims to provide you with a general understanding of the Code and its requirements. Section 1 provides an introduction to the Code and its enforcement. Section 2 outlines your obligations under the Code, referencing specific paragraphs for further information. Sections 3 and 4 deal with general issues surrounding the disclose and registration of interests under Parts 3 and 4 of the Code respectively. You can obtain a copy of the Code adopted by your Council by contacting your Clerk.

The guide is intended to help you to understand the Code and how it applies, but it cannot hope to cover every conceivable circumstance. Ultimately, it is your responsibility to take specific advice from your Clerk and to make a decision as to the most suitable course of action. The Monitoring Officer of the principal council³ for the area will also be able to provide advice if the matter is complex and your Clerk is unable to do so.

The guidance explains the revised two-stage test that I will consider when deciding whether to investigate or to continue with an investigation of a breach of the Code, to the stage of referring the matter to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales. It also includes guidance on the use of social media and political expression and aims to provide assistance to members on the issue of interests, which some members find challenging.

The guidance includes examples drawn from actual cases considered by my office and decisions reached by local standards committees and the Adjudication Panel for Wales, which help bring the guidance to life. Some of the decisions in these cases may have been taken by my predecessor but, for ease of reference, I will refer to them as my own decisions. Further examples of recent cases can be seen in the "Code of Conduct Casebook", which is on my website at www.ombudsman.wales

I am concerned that the promotion of equality and respect and the disclosure and registration of interests continue to dominate the complaints received by my office. I have seen year-on-year increases in the number of complaints where bullying by members is being alleged, particularly from community council clerks, other officers and contractors of local authorities or community and town councils. This suggests members generally could benefit from training or refresher training on these aspects of the Code in particular.

³ A county or county borough council in Wales

As a member you will be offered training on the Code whether from your Clerk, a Monitoring Officer or a representative body. I expect all members to take advantage of such training, including refresher courses, to ensure that they are fully aware of the provisions of the Code and the standards expected of them in public life. I would urge members to avail themselves of any local arrangements that may be in place for dealing with complaints about their fellow members, which are proving an effective means of resolving many of these cases.

Despite a recent reduction in the number of complaints I have received about community councillors, I continue to be concerned about the number of low-level, tit-for-tat complaints which border on frivolity, or which are motivated by political rivalry or clashes of personality, rather than true Code of Conduct issues. I welcome the fact that the number of these low-level complaints has reduced; however, the number I receive is still too high. Whilst these complaints appear to have been generated by a small number of members, in these challenging times, it is increasingly important to ensure the effective use of my office's resources and that any investigation undertaken is proportionate and required in the wider public interest. I take a very dim view of complaints of this nature and have, where appropriate, advised members that making a complaint which is frivolous, vexatious or malicious is itself a breach of the Code.

We should continue to work collaboratively to drive up standards and to create a culture where members are respected for their selflessness, objectivity and respectful behaviour. If we do so, we can build public confidence in our democratic institutions and promote good governance for the benefit of the people in all of our communities.

Nick Bennett Public Services Ombudsman for Wales 2021

This statutory guidance is issued by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales under Section 68 of the Local Government Act 2000 for elected, co-opted and appointed members of community and town councils in Wales.

Separate guidance is available for elected, co-opted and appointed members of county councils, fire and rescue authorities, national park authorities and police and crime panels in Wales.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the legal services department of Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council for the use of its flowchart on interests. *First published April 2010. This edition published 2021.*

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
|---|--|----|
| | Investigations: Assessing the Public Interest | 2 |
| | Legal Precedents | 4 |
| | Local Resolution Process | 5 |
| | Standards Committee | 7 |
| | Adjudication Panel for Wales | 8 |
| | The Role of the Clerk | 9 |
| | The Role of the Monitoring Officer | 10 |
| | The Principles | 10 |
| | Deciding when the Code applies to you | 13 |
| 2 | General obligations under the Code of Conduct | 16 |
| | Equality | |
| | Compromising the impartiality of officers of the authority | 25 |
| | Disclosing confidential information | |
| | Preventing access to information | 27 |
| | Disrepute | |
| | Reporting criminal behaviour | 30 |
| | Reporting breaches of the Code | 30 |
| | Vexatious complaints | |
| | Co-operating with investigations | 33 |
| | Using your position improperly | 35 |
| | Using the Council's resources | |
| | Using resources for proper purposes only | |
| | Reaching decisions objectively | |
| | Considering advice provided to you and giving reasons | 39 |
| | Expenses | 39 |
| | Gifts and hospitality | 40 |
| 3 | Personal and prejudicial interests | |
| | Personal Interests | 41 |
| | What to do when you have a personal interest | 46 |
| | Prejudicial Interests | 47 |
| | What to do when you have a prejudicial interest | 49 |
| | Dispensations | 53 |
| 4 | Registration of Personal Interests | 55 |
| | Gifts and hospitality | 56 |
| | Appendix | |

1 Introduction

The Local Government Act 2000 created a new ethical framework for local government in Wales. It created a power for the National Assembly for Wales (now known as the Welsh Parliament or Senedd Cymru) to issue a model Code of Conduct to apply to members and co-opted members (with voting rights) of all relevant authorities in Wales. This power was transferred to the Welsh Ministers by the Government of Wales Act 2006. On 1 April 2016, Welsh Ministers issued a number of revisions to the current Model Code of Conduct (issued in 2008)⁴ which all relevant authorities were required to adopt.⁵

For this purpose, a relevant authority is defined as a county or county borough council, a community council, a fire and rescue authority or a national park authority in Wales. The ethical framework and the model Code of Conduct also apply to members of a police and crime panel in Wales by virtue of regulations made by the UK Government under the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011.⁶

Authorities were required to adopt the Code in its model form in its entirety, but could make additions to the Code, provided these were consistent with the Model. This is intended to give certainty to members and the public as to what standards are expected. It helps to ensure consistency throughout relevant authorities, avoiding confusion for members on more than one authority and for the public.

Standards committees of principal councils are required to assist members and co-opted members of community and town councils in their area to observe the Code, and to arrange for advice and training to be provided. Such training may be provided by Monitoring Officers or by One Voice Wales, the representative body for community and town councils in Wales. One Voice Wales has developed bespoke training on the Code tailored to the needs of community and town council members, which has been endorsed by local authority Monitoring Officers. I expect all members to attend training and take advice on conduct matters where it is offered.

⁴ Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (Wales) Order 2008, SI 2008 No. 788 (W.82)

 ⁵ Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (Amendment) Order 2016, SI 2016 No. 84 (W.38)
⁶ Police and Crime Panels (Application of Local Authority Enactments) Regulations 2012, SI 2012 No. 2734

Whilst community councillors do not act on decision-making bodies such as planning committees, you will be called upon to take decisions on the allocation of funding from your precept and to offer guidance, drawing on your valuable local knowledge, to the County Council about the impact of planning applications. It is imperative, therefore, that you are fully aware of the Code and its implications for your decision-making and indeed, whether you should be involved in making a decision. In light of this, I recommend training on the Code for all new councillors as early in their term of office as possible and all councillors should undertake refresher training from time-to-time.

As a member, when you sign your declaration of acceptance of office, you are confirming that you will observe the Code. It is your personal responsibility to ensure that you understand your obligations under the Code and act in a way which shows that you are committed to meeting the high standards of conduct that are expected of you as a member. Ultimately, as a member, you are responsible for the decisions you take and can be held to account for them. However, this does not imply that you can take decisions which breach the Code or are contrary to relevant advice from your Council's Clerk or other officers simply because the decision is yours to take. This guidance explains the constraints you are expected to act within to ensure members of the public can be confident in the way in which authorities in Wales reach their decisions.

Investigations: Assessing the Public Interest

It is my role as Public Services Ombudsman for Wales to consider and, when appropriate, undertake independent investigations of serious complaints that members of local authorities in Wales have breached the Code. In determining whether to investigate a complaint or whether to continue an investigation of a breach of the Code, I use a two-stage test.

At the first stage, I will aim to establish whether there is direct evidence that a breach actually took place. The level of proof that is required is on the balance of probabilities. If that evidential stage is met, at the second stage I will consider whether an investigation or a referral to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales is required in the public interest. Some of the public interest factors that I will consider are set out below.

These factors are not exhaustive and the weight to be attached to each will vary according to the facts and merits of each case.

Public interest factors include:

- the seriousness of the breach
- whether the member deliberately sought personal gain for themselves or another person at the public expense
- whether the circumstances of the breach are such that a member has misused a position of trust or authority and caused harm to a person
- whether the breach was motivated by any form of discrimination against the victim's ethnic or national origin, gender, disability, age, religion or belief, sexual orientation or gender identity
- whether there is evidence of previous similar behaviour, or the member has been referred to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales for previous misconduct
- whether there is evidence of a course of conduct, the conduct is ongoing, or the misconduct is escalating
- whether the investigation or referral to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales is required to maintain public confidence in elected members in Wales
- whether investigation or referral to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel of Wales is a proportionate response, namely, whether it is likely that the breach would lead to a sanction being applied to the member (I will take account of the outcomes of previous cases considered by standards committee across Wales and the Adjudication Panel for Wales), and whether the use of resources in carrying out an investigation or hearing by a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales would be regarded as excessive when weighed against any likely sanction.

I have a wide discretion as to whether to begin or continue an investigation. I have revised the two-stage test adopted by my predecessor in order to provide greater clarity on how I will usually exercise my discretion and to secure a degree of consistency and certainty in the decisions that I reach.

Legal Precedents

When applying the two-stage test, in addition to taking account of previous decisions of the Adjudication Panel for Wales and standards committees, I must be mindful of relevant legal precedents set by the Courts. Since the Code was introduced in 2001, there have been two significant appeals heard by the High Court that have set important benchmarks in relation to cases in Wales.⁷

In the first case, the Adjudication Panel dismissed an appeal by a Community Councillor against the decision of the local Standards Committee that he had failed to show respect and consideration for others by posting various online comments criticising the other members and the way in which the Council was run. The High Court found that, whilst the comments were sarcastic and mocking and the tone ridiculed his fellow members, because the majority of the comments related to the way in which the Council was run, how its decisions were recorded and the competence of the members, the comments were "political expression". The ruling said no account had been taken of the need for politicians to have "thicker skins". In view of the member's freedom of expression and the fact that the majority of comments were directed at fellow councillors, the finding of a breach in this case was a disproportionate interference with the member's rights under Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). The Adjudication Panel's decision was, therefore, set aside.

In the second case, the High Court heard an appeal against the decision of the Adjudication Panel that a member of a County Council had committed 14 breaches of the Code by failing to show respect and consideration for officers of the Council, using bullying behaviour, attempting to compromise the impartiality of officers and bringing the member's office into disrepute. The breaches occurred over a period of two years and included comments and conduct which were critical of, and threatening towards, both senior and junior officers. The Court found that all of the breaches were intentional and some of the misconduct was serious. Some of the breaches involved deliberately dishonest and misleading conduct towards officers, other members and members of the public. In respect of officers, much of the conduct was intended to undermine them personally and was performed when officers were trying to do their jobs, which the member was intent on frustrating. All but three of the breaches found by the Adjudication Panel were upheld by the Court.

⁷ Calver, R (on application of) v The Adjudication Panel for Wales [2012] EWHC 1172 (Admin); Heesom v Public Services Ombudsman for Wales [2014] EWHC 1504 (Admin)

One of the important issues that had to be determined by the Court was the scope of, and legitimate restrictions to, a politician's right of freedom of expression under Article 10 of the ECHR and at common law. The Court reiterated that the law requires politicians to have thick skin and be tolerant of criticism and other adverse comment. However, the Court also noted that while public servants are open to criticism, including public criticism, it is in the public interest that they are not subject to unwarranted comments that disenable them from performing their public duties and undermine confidence in the administration.

I have included guidance consequent on these judgments, particularly conduct towards junior officers, in the sections dealing with the relevant paragraphs of the Code.

Further guidance on the process I use for investigating complaints, including a factsheet on 'Assessing Public Interest' and the 'Code of Conduct Casebook,' which summarises cases I have investigated, is available on my website <u>www.ombudsman.wales</u>.

In this guidance I have tried, where possible, to use examples of cases which have been referred to me and which are relevant to community and town councils. Where this has not been possible, I have given examples of theoretical scenarios that indicate how the Code may be breached while you are undertaking your role.

Local Resolution Process

Local authorities across Wales have implemented local resolution procedures to deal with low-level complaints which are made by a member against a fellow member. These arrangements are proving to be an effective and proportionate means of resolving many of these kinds of complaints.

Local resolution can also play an important role within community and town councils where, all too, often low-level disputes between members have escalated to the point where the whole council has been brought into disrepute in the eyes of the public. I am pleased, therefore, that One Voice Wales has produced a 'Model Local Resolution Protocol for Community and Town Councils' to support councils in resolving minor disputes in a way which is proportionate to the scale and resources of the sector. I strongly encourage all community and town councils to adopt the protocol. The Model Protocol is available from One Voice Wales or my website.

Typically, complaints which can be dealt with through local resolution will be about alleged failures to show respect and consideration for others as required by paragraph 4(b) of the Code, or the duty not to make vexatious, malicious or frivolous complaints against other members under paragraph 6(1)(d) of the Code. Whilst a member may still complain directly to me about a fellow member, if the matter being complained about concerns paragraphs 4(b) and 6(1)(d), I am likely to refer the matter back to the Council's Clerk for consideration under the local resolution protocol, where this has been adopted by the Council. In my view, such complaints are more appropriately resolved informally and locally in order to speed up the complaints process and to ensure that my resources are devoted to the investigation of serious complaints.

The aim of local resolution is to resolve matters at an early stage so as to avoid the unnecessary escalation of the situation which may damage personal relationships within the Council and the Council's reputation. The process may, for example, result in an apology being made by the member concerned, or a recommendation that the member undertakes specific training. However, where a member has repeatedly breached their authority's local protocol, I would expect the Clerk to refer the matter back to me. If I see a pattern of similar complaints being made to me by the same members, I will consider this to be a serious matter and decide whether the persistent reporting of such complaints is conduct which in itself should be investigated as a potential breach of the Code.

When I have investigated a complaint, I may refer the matter to a standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales for determination. This will depend on the nature and individual circumstances of the alleged breach. When issuing my report, I will reflect on and analyse the evidence gathered and draw my conclusions as to whether it is suggestive that a breach of the Code has occurred. However, the authority to make a determination of breach rests solely with the relevant standards committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales.

Standards Committee

The Standards Committee established by the Principal Council for your area is responsible for promoting and maintaining high standards of conduct by members. It provides advice and training for members and monitors the operation of the Code. The Committee also considers reports referred by me, or the principal council's Monitoring Officer, following the investigation of alleged breaches of the Code. The Standards Committee also discharges these functions in relation to community and town councils in its area.

Standards committees are made up of independent lay members and elected members of the principal council. The membership of a standards committee which discharges functions in relation to community and town councils must also include at least one community councillor.

When I refer a case to a standards committee, its role is to decide whether a member has breached the Code and, if so, whether a sanction should be imposed. Adjudication Panel for Wales hearings take place in public, except where a tribunal considers that publicity would prejudice the interests of justice. In my view, standards committee hearings should also be conducted in public, unless there are valid reasons for not doing so, to promote public confidence in standards in public life. Where a standards committee concludes that a member or co-opted member has failed to comply with the relevant council's code of conduct, it may determine that:

- no action needs to be taken in respect of that failure
- the member or co-opted member should be censured, which takes the form of a public rebuke
- the member or co-opted member should be suspended or partially suspended from being a member of that council for a period not exceeding 6 months or, if shorter, the remainder of the member's term of office.

A member may seek the permission of the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales to appeal against the determination of a standards committee.

Adjudication Panel for Wales

When I refer a case to the Adjudication Panel for Wales, its role is to establish a 'case tribunal' to determine whether a member has breached the Code and whether a sanction should be imposed. In addition, it will consider any appeals where permission has been obtained against the determination of a standards committee.

The powers available to a case tribunal when it determines that a member or co-opted member who is the subject of a report referred to it by me has failed to comply with the Code are:

- to disqualify the member from being, or becoming, a member of the relevant authority concerned or any other relevant authority for a period of up to 5 years
- to suspend or partially suspend the member from being a member or co-opted member of the relevant authority concerned for up to 12 months
- to take no action in respect of the breach. In such cases the Panel may deem it appropriate to warn the member as to their future conduct. Where such a warning has been recorded, it is likely to be taken into account during any future hearing where the member is found again to have failed to follow the provisions of the Code.

During an investigation, I may issue an interim report to the President of the Adjudication Panel, if I consider it necessary and in the public interest to do so. An interim report will be considered by an 'interim case tribunal', which will decide whether it is appropriate to suspend, or partially suspend, the member pending the completion of my investigation.

The role of an 'appeals tribunal' is to review the determination of a standards committee that a member has breached the Code and / or any sanction imposed. An appeals tribunal may endorse any sanction imposed, or refer the matter back to the standards committee with a recommendation as to a different sanction; or it may overturn the decision that there has been a breach. However, an appeals tribunal cannot recommend a different sanction that was not available to the standards committee when making its determination. Where either a standards committee or the Panel suspends or partly suspends a member or co-opted member, that member is still subject to the Code, in particular the provisions set out in paragraphs 6(1)(a) (bringing the office of member or authority into disrepute) and paragraph 7 (improperly using the position of member).

The Role of the Clerk

The Clerk is employed by your Council and undertakes a number of tasks including providing administrative support to the Council, advising on the development and implementation of policies and procedures and taking action to implement the Council's decisions. The Clerk has a complex role which may entail having to act as a project manager, personnel director, public relations officer and finance administrator. The Clerk acts in a supporting role for you and your fellow councillors and is the person you should turn to in the first instance if you need any advice. The best councils will have a Clerk and councillors who work as a team within a culture of mutual respect and consideration to serve their community. The Clerk is not just a secretary and is not at the beck and call of the Chair or individual members of the Council; the Clerk is answerable only to the Council as a whole. Whilst you may question the advice you are given by the Clerk, you must do so in a constructive and objective manner.

The Clerk will be able to advise councillors on relevant legislation, including matters relating to the Code and the Council's standing orders. The Clerk will work closely with the Chair of the Council to ensure that appropriate procedures are followed at meetings and that all necessary information is available to councillors so that they may make informed decisions. If necessary, Clerks may approach the relevant principal council's Monitoring Officer (see below) for advice.

The Clerk also plays an important role in facilitating the operation of the Model Local Resolution Protocol, where adopted, in conjunction with the Chair or Vice Chair of your Council.

The Clerk is an employee of the Council and is not required to abide by the Code. However, the Clerk and other Council employees are subject to a separate code of conduct set out in the 'Code of Conduct (Qualifying Local Government Employees) (Wales) Order 2001'. Under Section 82 of the Local Government Act 2000, the terms and conditions of appointment of the Council's employees are deemed to incorporate this Code. Any issues

regarding the performance of the Clerk or other employees are personnel matters and should be addressed using appropriate employment procedures. The Ombudsman cannot consider complaints regarding the performance of your Council's employees; this is a matter for the Council as the employer.

The Role of the Monitoring Officer

The Monitoring Officer is employed by the Principal Council for the area. Among other things, the Monitoring Officer has an important role in ensuring the lawfulness and fairness of decision-making by the Principal Council. The Monitoring Officer also contributes to the promotion and maintenance of high standards of conduct through the provision of support to the Standards Committee and members of the Council.

Monitoring Officers endeavour to provide support and guidance to community and town councils on matters of conduct, which may include the provision of training. However, this can have significant resource implications, particularly in areas with high numbers of community and town councils, and you should always ask your Clerk in the first instance for any guidance or information. The Monitoring Officer may be able to provide information if your Clerk is unavailable or you need assistance with a more complex query.

The Principles

The Local Government Act 2000 empowered the National Assembly to issue principles to which you must have regard in undertaking your role as a member. The Code is based on these principles which are designed to promote the highest possible standards. These principles draw on the 7 Principles of Public Life which were first set out in the 1995 Nolan Report "Standards in Public Life". Three more were added to these in the local government principles in Wales: a duty to uphold the law, proper stewardship of the Council's resources and equality and respect for others.

Members of community and town councils give generously of their time and commitment for the benefit of their communities. The principles provide a framework for channelling your commitment in a way which will reflect well on you and your Council, and which will give your communities confidence in the way that your Council is governed. The individual sections of the Code are designed to support the implementation of the Principles. For example, the Selflessness principle is covered by Section 7 of the Code – Selflessness and Stewardship. The current principles were set out in a statutory instrument⁸ and are replicated below.

1. Selflessness

Members must act solely in the public interest. They must never use their position as members to improperly confer an advantage on themselves or to improperly confer an advantage or disadvantage on others.

2. Honesty

Members must declare any private interests relevant to their public duties and take steps to resolve any conflict in a way that protects the public interest.

3. Integrity and Propriety

Members must not put themselves in a position where their integrity is called into question by any financial or other obligation to individuals or organisations that might seek to influence them in the performance of their duties. Members must on all occasions avoid the appearance of such behaviour.

4. Duty to Uphold the Law

Members must act to uphold the law and act on all occasions in accordance with the trust that the public has placed in them.

5. Stewardship

In discharging their duties and responsibilities members must ensure that their authority's resources are used both lawfully and prudently.

⁸ The Conduct of Members (Principles) (Wales) Order 2001, SI 2001 No. 2276 (W.166)

6. Objectivity in Decision-making

In carrying out their responsibilities including making appointments, awarding contracts, or recommending individuals for rewards and benefits, members must make decisions on merit. Whilst members must have regard to the professional advice of officers and may properly take account of the views of others, including their political groups, it is their responsibility to decide what view to take and, if appropriate, how to vote on any issue.

7. Equality and Respect

Members must carry out their duties and responsibilities with due regard to the need to promote equality of opportunity for all people, regardless of their gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, age or religion, and show respect and consideration for others.

8. Openness

Members must be as open as possible about all their actions and those of their authority. They must seek to ensure that disclosure of information is restricted only in accordance with the law.

9. Accountability

Members are accountable to the electorate and the public generally for their actions and for the way they carry out their responsibilities as a member. They must be prepared to submit themselves to such scrutiny as is appropriate to their responsibilities.

10. Leadership

Members must promote and support these principles by leadership and example so as to promote public confidence in their role and in the authority. They must respect the impartiality and integrity of the authority's statutory officers and its other employees.

The principles are not part of the Model Code of Conduct, and failure to comply with the Principles is not of itself, therefore, indicative of a breach of the Code. However, it is likely that a failure, for example, to adhere to the principle concerning equality and respect would constitute a breach of the requirements of paragraphs 4(a) and 4(b) of the Code in respect of equality of opportunity and respect.

In any event, the Principles offer a sound basis for your conduct in office and I encourage members to have regard to them at all times.

Deciding when the Code applies to you See paragraphs 2 and 3

Consider conduct in your public and private life

Members are entitled to privacy in their personal lives, and many of the provisions of the Code only apply to you when you are acting in your role as member or acting as a representative of your Council. However, the public rightly expects high standards of those who represent them in public office and your conduct in your private life will influence how you are perceived as a councillor. Consequently, as there may be circumstances in which your behaviour in your private life can impact on the reputation and integrity of your Council, some of the provisions of the Code apply to you at all times.

When reaching a decision as to whether the Code applies to you at a particular time, I will have regard to the particular circumstances and the nature of your conduct at that time.

Before considering your obligations under the Code you should first consider whether the Code applies and, if so, what provisions are pertinent.

When does the Code apply?

• Whenever you act in your official capacity, including whenever you are conducting the business of your Council or acting, claiming to act, or give the impression you are acting, in your official capacity as a member or as a representative of your Council (paragraph 2(1)(a)-(c)).

 At any time, if you conduct yourself in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing your office or your authority into disrepute, or if you use or attempt to use your position improperly to gain an advantage or avoid a disadvantage for yourself or any other person, or if you misuse your Council's resources (paragraphs 2(1)(d), 6(1)(a) and 7).

Where you act as a representative of your Council on another relevant authority, or any other body, you must, when acting for that other authority, comply with its code of conduct (paragraph 3(a)). When you are nominated by your Council as a trustee of a charity you are obliged when acting as such to do so in the best interests of that charity, in accordance with charity law and with the guidance which has been produced by the Charity Commission (see its website: www.gov.uk/government/organisations/charity-commission).

If you are acting as a representative of your Council on another body, for example on an event committee, which does not have a code of conduct relating to its members, you must comply with your Council's own Code unless it conflicts with any legal requirements that the other body has to comply with (paragraph 3(b)).

If you refer to yourself as 'councillor' in any form of communication, the Code will apply to you. This applies in conversation, in writing, or in your use of electronic media. There has been a significant rise in complaints to me concerning the use of Facebook, blogs and Twitter. If you refer to your role as councillor in any way or comments you make are clearly related to your role, then the Code will apply to any comments you make there. Even if you do not refer to your role as councillor, your comments may have the effect of bringing your office or authority into disrepute and could therefore breach paragraph 6(1)(a) of the Code.

The Welsh Local Government Association has produced useful guidance on social media entitled "Social Media: A Guide for Councillors". The guidance aims to provide you with a clearer idea about how you can use social media, the possible pitfalls and how to avoid them. It is available on their website at <u>www.wlga.wales</u> or by calling 029 2046 8600.

If you are suspended from office for any reason, you must still observe those elements of the Code which apply, particularly as set out in paragraph 2(1)(d), while you are suspended.

Example 1

A complaint was received that a councillor was intoxicated and behaving inappropriately at a street party. It was established that the councillor did not have to undertake any action on behalf of the Council at the party. In my view, therefore, she attended the party as a member of the public and as she did not seek to rely on her status as a councillor in any way only paragraph 6(1)(a)(disrepute) of the Code applied at the time. Whilst her behaviour may have been considered inappropriate by some, it was not relevant to her role as a councillor and in my view did not bring the Council into disrepute, so was not indicative of a breach of paragraph 6(1)(a). I did not investigate this complaint.

Example 2

Whilst acting in a personal capacity, a member of a county council was convicted of criminal offences relating to a failure to maintain accurate animal records and the disposal of animal carcasses. The Standards Committee determined that, due to the seriousness of the convictions, the member had brought the authority into disrepute in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a).

2 General obligations under the Code of Conduct

It is your responsibility to consider which provisions of the Code may apply at any given time and to act in accordance with your obligations under those provisions of the Code. I have referred to each paragraph below to provide you with some guidance on your general obligations.

Equality See paragraph 4(a)

You must carry out your duties with due regard to the principle that there should be equality of opportunity for all people regardless of their gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, age or religion. This obligation underpins the principle that members must have due regard to the need to promote equality of opportunity for all people.

You should at all times seek to avoid discrimination. There are four main forms of discrimination:

- Direct discrimination: treating people differently because of their gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, age or religion.
- Indirect discrimination: treatment which does not appear to differentiate between people because of their gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, age or religion, but which disproportionately disadvantages them.
- Harassment: engaging in unwanted conduct on the grounds of gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, age or religion, which violates another person's dignity or creates a hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment.
- Victimisation: treating a person less favourably because they have complained of discrimination, brought proceedings for discrimination, or been involved in complaining about or bringing proceedings for discrimination.

The Equality Act 2010 (as amended) reinforces the importance of this part of the Code. It imposes positive duties to eliminate unlawful discrimination and harassment and to promote equality. Under equality laws, your Council may be liable for any discriminatory acts which you commit. This will apply if you do something in your official capacity in a discriminatory manner.

You must be careful not to act in a way which may amount to any of the prohibited forms of discrimination, or to do anything which hinders your Council's fulfilment of its positive duties under equality laws. Such conduct may cause your Council to break the law, and you may find yourself subject to a complaint that you have breached this paragraph of the Code.

You must also be mindful that, at all times, including when acting in your private capacity, you must not act in a way that would bring your Council into disrepute. It is likely that engaging in behaviour which could be considered to be in breach of the Equality Act in your private capacity would fall into this category.

Example 3

A member of a County Council was a member of the Council's Recruitment Panel to appoint a new Chief Executive. Five applicants were shortlisted. After one candidate had finished his presentation and left the room the member said "good candidate, shame he's black".

The Adjudication Panel for Wales found that paragraph 4(a) of the Code had been breached and that the member had brought the office of member and his authority into disrepute, in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a) of the Code.

Example 4

A member of a county borough council sent numerous emails challenging the capacity of an officer of the Council to fulfil their role due to an unsubstantiated allegation of ill-health and a known disability, without objective medical evidence. The Adjudication Panel found the failure to understand and appreciate the officer's right to privacy, the wide dissemination of private medical information and speculation about the progression of the condition demonstrated a failure to adhere to the principle that there should be equality of opportunity for all people, regardless of disability. Through his actions, it was clear that the member's view was that the officer should not be employed in his role due to his disability. The Panel found the member was in breach of paragraph 4(a) of the Code.

Treating others with respect and consideration See paragraph 4(b)

Political comments can attract Article 10 rights

When undertaking your role as a member, you must show respect and consideration for others. I expect members to afford the public colleagues, opponents and officers the same courtesy and consideration they show to others in their everyday lives. This does not mean you cannot participate in robust debate with political opponents, but it must be measured.

Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) provides a right to freedom of expression and information, subject to certain restrictions. Freedom of expression is a right which applies to all information and ideas, not just those that are found favourable. However, it is a right that may be restricted in certain circumstances, for example, for the protection of the rights and interests of others.

Your freedom of expression as a member attracts enhanced protection when comments you make are political in nature. Therefore, the criticism of opposition ideas and opinion is considered to be part of democratic debate, and it is unlikely that such comments would ever be considered to be a breach of the Code.

"Political" comments are not confined to those made within council meetings and, for example, include comments members may generally make on their Council's policies or about their political opponents. Therefore, unless the comments are highly offensive or outrageous, it is unlikely that I will investigate a complaint about comments made in this context and I will take the view that the offended member needs a "thicker skin", as has been stipulated by the High Court.

I may also decline to investigate a complaint where the member has raised "political" issues with officers, for example, the Clerk to a council. This would not, however, include threats to an officer's position or wellbeing. Recent case law has confirmed that council officers should be protected from unwarranted comments that may have an adverse effect on good administration and states that it is in the public interest that officers are not subject to unwarranted comments that disenable them from carrying out their duties or undermine public confidence in the administration. That said, officers who are in more senior positions, such as the Chief Executive of a Principal Council, will also be expected to have a greater degree of robustness. However, I am concerned about the number of complaints I have received which allege inappropriate

behaviour by some community councillors towards their Council's Clerk. Given the very scale and nature of community and town councils, there is a distinction to be made about the role and status of a Chief Executive or other senior officer in a Principal Council and that of a Clerk. I will consider carefully any complaints of alleged inappropriate behaviour by members towards Clerks, and will investigate those complaints which are supported by appropriate evidence that a member has gone beyond what might be regarded as reasonable challenge.

Whilst I recognise that political debate can, at times, become heated, the right to freedom of expression should not be used as an excuse for poor conduct generally. Such poor conduct can only discredit the role of members in the eyes of the public.

When considering such complaints, I will take into account the specific circumstances of the case; whether, in my view, the member was entitled to question the officer concerned, whether there was an attempt to intimidate or undermine the officer and the content and context of what has been said.

Example 5

The Chair of a Community Council was found by a Standards Committee to have sent a number of emails containing inappropriate critical comments to another member of the Council. Two of the emails, including one which contained disparaging comments about the member's shower habits, were copied to other members of the Council. One email confirmed that the Chair had instructed the Clerk not to accept further emails from the member, because of his "sarcastic and belligerent remarks", until the member "had learned how to behave and conduct [himself] in a correct manner befitting a councillor." An email critical of the member was also sent by the Chair to a member of the public. The Standards Committee found the emails amounted to a failure to show respect and consideration to the other member, in breach of paragraph 4(b) of the Code, and had brought the Council into disrepute in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a).

An Appeal Tribunal of the Adjudication Panel for Wales found that two of the emails had been sent by the Chair in a personal rather than official capacity. The Tribunal considered all of the emails contained an attack, in some form or other, on the rights and reputation of the other member. However, the Tribunal found despite being confrontational, the comments were not abusive and were in the main political in nature and attracted the enhanced protection of Article 10 of the ECHR.

The Tribunal found that the email about the member's shower habits was intended to make light of the situation and had not been sent maliciously, although it acknowledged the member may have perceived it as such. The Tribunal also found that the ban on the member communicating with the Clerk was a genuine attempt to protect the Clerk from inappropriate emails by the member. The Standards Committee's decision was overturned and the sanction rescinded.

Example 6

A member of a Town Council wrote a letter to a Deputy Minister of the then Welsh Assembly Government about an employee of a County Council, which he also copied to the Council. In the letter, the member questioned the employee's competence and motivation and he made a number of comments of a disparaging and personal nature about the employee and his associates. He raised the issue of homosexuality and referred to it as a "notorious disability" and that "homosexuality is only a demon which can be driven out".

The Adjudication Panel found that the member had breached paragraph 4(b) of the Code in that he had failed to show respect and consideration for others. It also found that his conduct had brought the office of member into disrepute in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a) of the Code.

Example 7

The Chair of a Community Council raised a complaint at a meeting of the Council that he had not seen the text of a letter prior to it being issued (as previously agreed) in his name by the Clerk. The Chair was unhappy with the content of the letter when he eventually saw it. It was alleged that it was inappropriate for him to have raised the matter, without notice, in a public forum and in doing so he had upset and publicly humiliated the Clerk. A Standards Committee concluded that it was not inappropriate for the member to raise the issue in a public meeting so that his views could be publicly identified. The Standards Committee considered that his line of questioning and approach did not demonstrate a failure to show respect and consideration for the Clerk, but were intended to ensure that the Council's interests were protected and his concerns about the content of the letter were addressed. The Standards Committee, found no breach in relation to this aspect of the complaint.

(See paragraph 4(c) below for further examples)

Bullying and harassment See paragraph 4(c)

Consider your conduct from the other person's perspective

You must not use any bullying behaviour or harass any person including other councillors, council officers (the Clerk or Proper Officer) or members of the public.

Harassment is repeated behaviour which upsets or annoys people. Bullying can be characterised as offensive, intimidating, malicious, insulting or humiliating behaviour. Such behaviour may happen once or be part of a pattern of behaviour directed at a weaker person, or a person over whom you have some actual or perceived influence. Bullying behaviour attempts to undermine an individual or a group of individuals, is detrimental to their confidence and capability, and may adversely affect their health.

When considering allegations of bullying and harassment, I will consider both the perspective of the alleged victim, and whether the member intended their actions to be bullying. I will also consider whether the individual was reasonably entitled to believe they were being bullied. Bullying is often carried out face to face but, increasingly, it can be carried out in print or using electronic media. The standards of behaviour expected are the same, whether you are expressing yourself verbally or in writing.

Example 8

A Community Councillor disagreed with the County Council's arrangements for the enforcement of parking breaches within the town. The Councillor used disrespectful and abusive language and behaved in a bullying and intimidating manner towards Council Civil Enforcement Officers on four occasions. He also sought to use his position as a councillor improperly in relation to a parking offence. A Standards Committee found that the Councillor had breached paragraph 4(c) of the Code, as he had pursued a course of conduct of threatening behaviour towards the County Council employees. The Standards Committee also established that the Councillor breached paragraphs 4(b), 7(a) and 6(1)(a) of the Code.

Example 9

A member of a County Council telephoned a private care home contracted to provide services to the Council to say that he wanted to attend the home that day to visit a child in its care. He was advised by a care worker that he could not do so as he was not named on the child's care plan. The member said that he would attend that day with a colleague. He was advised that the police would be called if he did so. At a later date, the member attended the head office of the care home at the invitation of, and to provide support to, the father of the child with the aim of attending a scheduled therapy meeting. The therapy meeting was cancelled as a consequence of the member's unauthorised presence. The member's actions were found to be in contravention of his Council's adopted 'Protocol on the Role of Elected Members in Safeguarding Vulnerable Children and Adults'. The Council's Standards Committee found the member's interaction with the care home staff had become increasingly hostile. His conduct during the course of the telephone call was intended to undermine the care worker in her role and to exert pressure on her to allow him to attend the care home. The Standards Committee found there was a power imbalance between the care worker and the member, who had sought to use his position inappropriately in an attempt to gain access to the child. The Standards Committee found the member had used bullying behaviour and harassment in breach of paragraph 4(c) of the Code.

You need to ensure that your behaviour does not cross the line between being forceful and bullying. There can be no hard and fast rules governing every set of circumstances, but the relative seniority of the officer will be a factor in some cases. As outlined in my comments about paragraph 4(b) of the Code, very senior officers within principal councils can be involved in robust discussion with members and be well placed to put their own point of view forcefully. However, the same is not necessarily true of the Clerk in the context of community and town councils and members need to be aware of this. This is not to say that I condone the bullying of senior officers, only that the greater the power difference between the officer and the member, the greater the likelihood that the officer will consider behaviour to constitute bullying.

The High Court has found that there is a public interest in protecting public confidence in unelected public servants which is to be balanced against the interests of open discussion on matters of public concern. It also found that all members should equally respect the mutual bond of trust and confidence between themselves and the officers which is crucial to good administration.

Your Council should have an appropriate mechanism for expressing concern about the performance of an officer and it is important that you raise issues about poor performance in the correct way and proper forum. Raising such issues in the context of a meeting with others present, especially if they are from outside bodies or are members of the public, is not acceptable. Neither is it acceptable to do so in the media, in your own publications or using blogs, tweets, Facebook or other electronic means. If your criticism is a personal attack or of an offensive nature, you are likely to cross the line of what is acceptable behaviour.

The Adjudication Panel for Wales and standards committees have made a number of findings against members who have sought inappropriately to use their position of power relative to junior officers to influence the actions of those officers, or whom have made unwarranted comments about the performance or actions of officers.

Example 10

During the discussion of an unrelated matter, a member of a Community Council raised in a public meeting of the Council questions about the Clerk which were personal and focused on the Clerk's remuneration, expenses, hours worked and other occupations. This was in contravention of the Council's standing orders, which provided that any questions relating to, among other things, the appointment, conduct and remuneration of any person employed by the Council should not be considered until the Council had decided whether or not the press and public should be excluded.

A Standards Committee found that it was not appropriate for the member to have raised the matter, which should have been considered in private, in a public meeting. The Standards Committee concluded that the member had caused the Clerk embarrassment and upset and had demonstrated a lack of respect and consideration for her, in breach of paragraph 4(b) of the Code. The action by the member was not a sustained course of conduct, but a one-off event directed at an individual in a weaker position of power. As such, it could also reasonably be regarded as bullying, in breach of paragraph 4(c) of the Code.

Example 11

A member of a County Council sent a critical email to an officer's Head of Service and copied it to the officer and a number of other members of the Council. In the email, the member described the officer as 'arrogant, lazy, mentally challenged and has been useless for years.' The member asked why the officer was not called to account and expressed the view that the officer was not worth his salary. The member sent a further email to the officer concerned and posted a 'Twitter' message on social media in which she referred to the investigation by my office in the following terms: 'My sin; ticking off LAZY officer Ugg!'. The impact of the emails led the officer to seek medical and other support and resulted in him taking sickness absence due to stress. The Adjudication Panel found the emails and Twitter message were completely unwarranted and would have adversely affected the officer's ability to carry out his role. The member had not previously raised the professionalism of the officer with senior management. The Panel found the member's conduct amounted to a breach of paragraph 4(b). Although falling short of repeated harassment, the Panel found the member's behaviour also amounted to deliberate bullying of the officer and a breach of paragraph 4(c)of the Code.

Example 12

I received a complaint that a member of a Town Council had acted in a disrespectful and bullying manner towards the Council's Clerk when questioning the accuracy of minutes and advice given at meetings of the Council. Witnesses were divided on whether the member's manner could be described as argumentative and obstructive, or plain talking and professional, but the general consensus was that he communicated with everyone in this way and did not appear to be doing so only to the Clerk.

It is not my role to inhibit robust political debate. Following my analysis of the evidence, I was not persuaded that there was evidence to suggest that the content of the discussions at the meetings was inappropriate. There was no evidence of behaviour which was personal, rude or abusive towards the Clerk. I was also not persuaded that the tone and content of the emails which concerned Council business, although challenging and questioning at times, would amount to disrespectful or bullying behaviour. I, therefore, concluded that no action needed to be taken.

Compromising the impartiality of officers of the authority See paragraph 4(d)

You must not compromise, or attempt to compromise, the impartiality of anyone who works for, or on behalf of, your Council. You should not approach anyone who works for, or on behalf of, the Council with a view to pressurising them to carry out their duties in a biased or partisan way. They must be neutral and should not be coerced or persuaded to act in a way that would undermine their neutrality. For example, you should not ask officers to help you prepare party political material, or to help you with matters relating to your private business. You should not provide or offer any incentive or reward in return for acting in a particular way or reaching a particular decision or threaten someone if they are not minded to act in a particular way. If a member develops a close personal relationship with an officer, this becomes a personal and possibly a prejudicial interest under the Code, which may affect your ability to participate in some matters being considered by the Council (see section 3 of this guidance).

You can legitimately question officers in order to understand, for example, their reasons for proposing the Council acts in a particular way or to clarify the content of a report they have written. However, you must not try to force them to act differently, change their advice, or alter the content of a report, if doing so would prejudice their professional integrity and neutrality.

Hypothetical Scenario

The Clerk is responsible for allocating allotments from a waiting list, the allotments are very popular and vacancies very rarely arise. The Clerk advised the Council that an allotment had become vacant and that they would consult the list and allocate the allotment to the person who had been waiting the longest in accordance with the Council's allotment allocation procedure. A Councillor's father had been waiting for an allotment for almost seven years.

The Councillor approached the Clerk after the meeting and asked to see the list. He noted that one person was ahead of his father by only one month. The Councillor asked the Clerk to give the vacant allotment to his father, he said that as so much time had elapsed since his father and the other person had applied, the other person was unlikely to question who was first and, in any event, it would not be difficult to retype the list. The Councillor suggested that in return for this favour he would encourage the Council to look favourably on the charity suggested by the Clerk when it came time to decide where to allocate funds raised at a fun day the following month. I would regard this as indicative of a breach of paragraph 4(d) of the Code.

Example 13

A member of a County Borough Council who had previously raised concerns with the Council's Chief Executive, telephoned his (the Chief Executive's) Personal Assistant and put her under pressure to persuade the Chief Executive to take a particular course of action. The member also pressed the Personal Assistant to access the Chief Executive's emails without his express instruction. The member told the Personal Assistant that if she did not do what he asked, the Local Education Authority might be "called in". The Adjudication Panel found that the member had gone beyond making a request to the Personal Assistant, due to the vehemence in which he had made his demands, combined with the veiled threat that if the Personal Assistant did not take the action that he required, the Local Education Authority would be "called in". The Panel found the member had attempted to compromise the impartiality of the Personal Assistant in breach of paragraph 4(d).

Disclosing confidential information See paragraph 5(a)

You must not disclose confidential information, or information which should be reasonably regarded to be of a confidential nature, except in any of the following circumstances:

- you have the consent of the person authorised to give it
- you are required by law to do so.

The Information Commissioner has issued helpful guidance on the Freedom of Information Act and Data Protection Act which is available on the Commissioner's website at <u>www.ico.org.uk</u> or by calling 0303 123 1113. As a community councillor you may have sight of information of a confidential or sensitive nature, such as personal or commercially sensitive information. You must also be mindful that, as a councillor, you hold a position of trust and you may find that members of the public will provide you with information that should be regarded as confidential. You should always confirm (where possible obtain an agreement in writing) that you have the person's permission to disclose such information before doing so. As a general rule, you should treat items discussed in the confidential sections of meetings ('exempt' items) as confidential. Similarly, legal advice is almost always covered by legal privilege and should not be disclosed.

Example 14

Community Councillor S received an email from another councillor regarding the employment of the caretaker, which was marked 'confidential'. Councillor S disclosed the email to the caretaker's wife and information in the email was subsequently used against the Council in a tribunal hearing relating to the caretaker's employment. I concluded that this was indicative of a breach of paragraph 5(a) of the Code by Councillor S.

Example 15

A member of a County Borough Council circulated information about an officer's medical condition to other members of the Council, a local headteacher and another person with whom he was acquainted. In the judgment of the Adjudication Panel, the member had disclosed information about the officer's health which should reasonably be regarded as being of a confidential nature and without the consent of the officer, in breach of paragraph 5(a).

I expect information provided to a member during the course of an investigation by my office to be treated in the strictest of confidence and it should not be disclosed to anyone other than the member's legal or other adviser. If the information is disclosed to other persons, I may consider this to be a breach of this paragraph of the Code. In addition, members should not discuss the complaint with any of the witnesses, whether directly or indirectly, as such contact may also be construed to be a breach of the Code.

Preventing access to information See paragraph 5(b)

You must not prevent any person from accessing information which they are entitled to by law. This includes information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or those copies of minutes, agendas, reports and other documents of your Council which they have a right to access. To find out more about what types of information the public can access, contact the Information Commissioner's Office by visiting <u>www.ico.org.uk</u> or by calling 0303 123 1113; or for specific queries, you should ask your Clerk.

Any information that you produce in your official capacity is liable to be subject to the disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act, and your Council may be required to release it in response to a request. If you do not provide the information to the Clerk or other person dealing with the information request when asked, you will be in breach of the Code.

Your Council needs to decide whether to disclose information or whether it may be covered by an exemption under the Freedom of Information Act. Even if you believe that information you hold is exempt, you must provide it to the person dealing with the information request to allow the Council to reach a decision. As well as being a breach of the Code, it is a criminal offence if information is destroyed after a Freedom of Information Act request has been received.

Example 16

The Leader of a County Council refused to give the Council's Information Officer a letter he had written to the then Wales Audit Office, on behalf of the Council's Executive. As a result, the Council could not respond appropriately to a Freedom of Information Act request which resulted in a complaint being made to the Information Commissioner's Office. The member continued to refuse to disclose the letter despite having received clear and unequivocal advice from the Information Officer. His refusal led to an adverse finding from the Information Commissioner's Office. The Adjudication Panel found that the member had breached paragraphs 5(b) and 6(1)(a) (disrepute) in respect of this matter and other related matters.

Disrepute See paragraph 6(1)(a)

Any conduct unbecoming of a member can constitute disrepute

You must not behave in a way which could reasonably be regarded as bringing your office or authority into disrepute at any time. As a member, your actions and behaviour are subject to greater scrutiny than those of ordinary members of the public. You should be aware that your actions in both your public and private life might have an adverse impact on the public perception of your office as a member, or your Council as a whole. Dishonest and deceitful behaviour will bring your Council into disrepute, as may conduct which results in a criminal conviction, especially if it involves dishonest, threatening or violent behaviour, even if the behaviour happens in your private life.

Whilst you have the right to freedom of expression, making unfair or inaccurate criticism of your Council in a public arena might be regarded as bringing your Council into disrepute. Similarly, inappropriate emails to constituents or careless or irresponsible use of social media might bring the office of member into disrepute, bearing in mind the community leadership role of members. Cases considered by the Adjudication Panel have shown that such behaviour will often be viewed as a serious breach of the Code.

Example 17

A Community Councillor attempted to obtain a discount on a private purchase from a shop by saying it was being bought on behalf of the Community Council. When his request for a discount was refused, he was abusive to the proprietor and two members of her staff and made threats against the business. The Adjudication Panel found that the member attempted to gain an improper advantage for himself, by misrepresenting the purchase as being on behalf of the Council, and his abusive behaviour towards the staff had brought the office of member into disrepute.

Example 18

A member of a County Borough Council who regularly wrote an article for a local monthly publication referred in his article to a recent road traffic accident in which a 10 year-old boy was injured. The complainant was the mother of the boy who was with the injured child. After the article was published, she telephoned the Councillor who she said was abusive towards her during the call. In a subsequent email exchange, the Councillor told her that she had "[failed] to take ANY responsibility for allowing your 10yr old child out alone", that her "ill-educated in the highway code son" was to blame and said "don't you dare try and shift your inadequacies as a parent upon me".

The Adjudication Panel found the member had failed to show respect and consideration to the complainant through the use of inappropriate language and by making unfounded and serious allegations based his limited knowledge of the facts, in breach of paragraph 4(b). Through its aggressive tone, threatening nature and serious allegations contained in the email, the member has also brought the office of member into disrepute, in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a).

Example 19

Whilst acting in a private capacity, a member of a County Borough Council received a criminal conviction for common assault as a consequence of the unsolicited touching of the leg of a female, which caused her distress. The Adjudication Panel heard that the member accepted his behaviour was unacceptable and had pleaded guilty to the offence in the Courts. The Panel found that the conviction and negative publicity that surrounded the case had brought the member's office into disrepute, in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a) of the Code.

Reporting criminal behaviour See paragraph 6(1)(b)

The Code requires you to report any conduct by another member, an officer, or anyone who works on behalf of your Council (e.g. a contractor) which you reasonably believe involves or may involve criminal behaviour. Such matters should be reported through your Council's confidential reporting procedure, or direct to the proper statutory authority. As with alleged breaches of the Code (see below), you should not make vexatious, malicious or frivolous allegations, which would themselves be capable of being a breach, by you, of paragraph 6(1)(d) of the Code. If in doubt, consult your Council's Clerk. The Principal Council's Monitoring Officer may also be able to provide advice.

Reporting breaches of the Code See paragraph 6(1)(c)

If you reasonably believe that a breach of the Code has occurred, you must report it to the Monitoring Officer of the principal Council. There is no express requirement to report the matter to me, although allegations about serious breaches of the Code can and should be reported to my office.

In order to have a reasonable belief that a breach has occurred, you will need to have direct evidence (see below) which supports this. If you are in doubt as to whether a breach hasoccurred, you should seek the advice of your Clerk or consult the Monitoring Officer as soon as possible.

The Clerk or Monitoring Officer will be able to advise you whether the nature of the alleged breach warrants the matter being referred to me. Where the breach is a very minor or a technical one, or where there is no clear evidence that a breach occurred, your Clerk or Monitoring Officer may advise you of the likely threshold I will set in deciding whether an investigation is appropriate. In the most serious of cases the Clerk or Monitoring Officer may, as an exception, decide to refer matters to me directly or on your behalf. In most other cases, you will be advised to do so yourself.

If your Council has adopted the Model Local Resolution Protocol for low-level complaints, your Clerk or the Monitoring Officer may suggest that the matter would be more appropriately dealt with through that process. The decision as to whether to investigate a breach rests with me. The balance of any doubt should always favour reporting. It is helpful if you specify which aspect of the Code you believe has been breached.

In determining whether to investigate a complaint of a breach, I will use the two-stage test which I have outlined in the Introduction to this guidance. You should ensure that you provide any evidence you have available when you make a complaint including minutes of meetings, correspondence, contemporaneous notes or emails. If there are other individuals who have witnessed the alleged breach, you should let me know who they are. This latter point is especially important because, if I only have one person's word against another's, I may not be able to conclude with sufficient certainty that there is enough evidence to warrant pursuing the matter.

To report a potential breach, you can contact my office by phone at 0300 790 0203, by email to <u>ask@ombudsman.wales</u> or via the website at <u>www.ombudsman.wales</u>. A special leaflet on making complaints about alleged breaches of the Code is available on request or on the website.

Vexatious complaints See paragraph 6(1)(d)

You must not make vexatious, malicious or frivolous complaints against other members or anyone who works for, or on behalf of, your Council.

You must not make complaints against other members, your Council's officers or people working on behalf of your Council which are not founded in fact and which are motivated by malice (a desire to do them harm) or by political rivalry. Unfortunately, there have been instances where members have sought to bring complaints about rivals which are designed to disadvantage them, sometimes in the run-up to elections, and where the evidence of any breach is weak or non-existent. I consider that in the first instance such conduct should be considered under the Council's local resolution process, if there is one in place.

Where specific details of such complaints are passed to local press and media, this may prejudice an investigation and may also be a breach of the Code. You must report well-founded alleged breaches to the Monitoring Officer of the Principal Council - not to your local newspaper or radio station. The press will properly cover the business of any subsequent hearings and their outcomes, and members making allegations should not generate publicity in advance of these.

The Code should not be used by members to pursue their political or private differences. You should also avoid making complaints which have little or no substance (frivolous complaints) which are designed mainly to annoy the person complained about.

Example 20

A member of a County Borough Council alleged that the Leader of the Council had offered to provide another councillor and his group of members with office facilities, if that other councillor supported the Leader's preferred candidate for the post of Chief Executive. The Adjudication Panel found that the allegation was without foundation and was designed to cause damage to the Leader of the Council. As such, it was both a vexatious and malicious complaint, contrary to paragraph 6(1)(d) of the Code. The Panel also concluded that the surrounding publicity had brought the Council into disrepute in breach of paragraph 6(1)(a).

This aspect of the Code has been a particular problem within community and town councils. In the past, it has been necessary for my predecessor to correspond with the Clerk of a council in relation to their mutual concerns about the number of complaints received in respect of its members. As previously stated, I too have had concerns about the number of low-level, tit-for-tat complaints that are still being received from community councillors in particular. Although these complaints appear to be generated by a small number of members, they can create a negative impression of those members and their councils and, more generally, can harm public confidence in our elected members. At the extreme, they can also have such an adverse impact on relations within the Council as whole as to render it incapable of conducting its business effectively. Where it becomes apparent that repeated member against member complaints are being made to my office, I would urge those councils to reflect on the culture which has resulted in these complaints and consider how this behaviour might be changed to avoid such complaints.

Where I find evidence to suggest that a complaint has been made to my office which is not founded in fact and has been motivated by malice or political rivalry, I will consider this to be a serious matter and I may investigate whether you have failed to comply with the Code in submitting the complaint. **Making vexatious**, malicious or frivolous complaints is not only a breach of this paragraph, but may also be contrary to yourother obligations under the Code, such as the requirement not to bring your position as councillor into disrepute (paragraph 6(1)(a)) or not to use your position for an improper purpose (paragraph 7(a)).

I appreciate that it can be frustrating if a member of the public makes repeated complaints against you which you consider to be vexatious or frivolous in nature. They are not subject to the Code and I am required to consider each complaint on its own merit. However, it is unlikely that such complaints would pass my two-stage test and be accepted for investigation.

Co-operating with investigations See paragraph 6(2)

You must co-operate with an investigation when it is being conducted by me or by the Monitoring Officer of the Principal Council for the area using our statutory powers. Not to do so is itself a breach of the Code. This means that you should reply promptly to all correspondence and telephone

calls, make yourself available for interview if required and make available copies of any requested documents, including electronic communications such as emails and texts. It would be helpful if you could identify any concerns that you may have during the course of the investigation so that these can be promptly resolved. My office and the Monitoring Officer will make reasonable allowances for urgent pressures you face and arrangements previously made, for example, for holidays. However, they will expect you to give priority to their investigations, to avoid matters being needlessly drawn out. The requirement to co-operate with an investigation applies whether you are a witness or the subject of the investigation.

I am aware of instances where members accused of breaches of the Code have sought to put pressure on the individuals making the complaint or on other witnesses. I regard such behaviour as entirely unacceptable. You must not intimidate or attempt to intimidate any person who is, or is likely to be a complainant, a witness, or involved in the administration of any investigation or proceedings relating to a failure to comply with the Code. In one case I investigated, the Adjudication Panel found that the member's actions in threatening the complainant could be described as akin to blackmail. As such, the Panel considered this to be more serious than the complaint which had led to my investigation in the first place.

However much you may be concerned about allegations that you or a fellow councillor failed to comply with the Code, it is always wrong to bully, intimidate or attempt to intimidate any person involved in the investigation or hearing. Even though you may not have breached the Code, you will have your say during any independent investigation or hearing, and you should let these processes follow their natural course.

If you intimidate a witness in an investigation about your conduct you may, for example, find yourself subject to another complaint that you have breached paragraph 4(c) of the Code with regard to bullying or harassment, or paragraph 6(1)(a) in respect of bringing the office of member into disrepute.

Example 21

My office investigated a number of separate serious allegations that a member of a Community Council had failed to comply with his Council's Code of Conduct, following which three reports were referred to the Adjudication Panel for Wales.

During the course of the investigation the member refused to engage properly with the process, was obstructive in that he refused to accept the delivery of papers, and he made a number of threats, including legal action, against the investigating officer and other members of the Council. The Adjudication Panel found that the member's failure to provide a proper and substantive response to requests made by my office during the investigation was a breach of paragraph 6(2) of the Code.

Using your position improperly See paragraph 7(a)

You must not use, or attempt to use, your position as a member improperly to the advantage or disadvantage of yourself or any other person.⁹ This paragraph <u>applies at all times</u> and not just when you are carrying out your duties as a member. You should not use, or attempt to use, your public office either for your or anybody else's personal gain or loss. For example, your behaviour would be improper if you sought to further your own private interests through your position as a member. This also applies if you use your office to improve your wellbeing at the expense of others.

Members who own land, or whose close personal associates own land, need to be particularly cautious where planning matters are concerned. If you are in any doubt, you should take advice. This applies equally to members of community and town councils when your Council is consulted on planning matters. Similarly, while it is reasonable to expect members to help constituents apply to the Council, for example, for housing, it is quite inappropriate to seek to influence the decision to be taken by the officers.

The provisions of the Bribery Act 2010 apply to members carrying out their public functions. Should a member be convicted of a criminal offence under this Act then it is likely that they will also have used their position improperly (in breach of paragraph 7(a)) and be likely to have brought the office of member or their authority into disrepute in breach of paragraphs 6(1)(a) and (b). If any complaint which is made to me concerns conduct which may amount to a criminal offence then I am likely to refer the matter to the police.

⁹ In legislation, the use of 'person' includes a body of persons corporate or unincorporated – see Schedule 1, Interpretation Act 1978; and Schedule 1, Legislation (Wales) Act 2019 (for Welsh legislation made on or after 1 January 2020).

Example 22

A Councillor was a 'joint co-ordinator' of a community group. The Councillor did not notify the Council of her position in this group. She took part in the consideration of, and voted on, the decision to negotiate a new lease in respect of a workshop used by the community group. A Standards Committee found that she had used her position on the Council improperly as the decision on which she voted benefited a group in which she clearly had an interest which she had not disclosed to the Council. She was found in breach of paragraph 7(a) of the Code.

Example 23

A member of a National Park Authority being investigated by my office for alleged inappropriate behaviour towards another member, spoke with the Chair of the Authority in an attempt to have the matter dealt with through a roundtable discussion of the parties involved. The member threatened to disclose information publicly about the complainant if the complaint to my office was pursued and went against him. The Adjudication Panel found that this amounted to an attempt by the member to use his position improperly in order to avoid a potential disadvantage, as well as breaches of paragraphs 4(b) and 6(1)(a) of the Code.

Example 24

A member of a Town Council wrote to the Welsh Government indicating that an application for a loan in respect of a 'Community Hub' project, supported by the Council, should not go ahead as the matter was subject to further discussion. The correspondence was signed by the member using the title Deputy Mayor of the Council. As a consequence, the Welsh Government made further enquiries of the Council and required it to submit a further loan application, which resulted in further work and expense for the Council.

During the course of my investigation the member claimed the correspondence was sent in a personal capacity. It was an accepted fact that the Community Hub would include facilities which would be in direct competition with the business interests of the member. In my view, the Welsh Government was unlikely to have acted upon the member's communication had it not been under the impression that the author was representing the Council.

A Standards Committee considered that the communication was designed to have an impact on the project. Whereas some of the motivation may have been to protect the public interest, the Committee considered the member had gone about it in an inappropriate manner which gave the impression that he was acting in his own business interests. The Committee concluded that the member had attempted to use his position improperly to gain an advantage in breach of paragraph 7(a) of the Code.

Using the Council's resources See sub-paragraphs 7(b)(i) – (iv)

You must only use or authorise the use of the resources of the Council in accordance with its requirements and the law. These sub-paragraphs also <u>apply at all times</u>. If your Council provides you with access to resources (for example telephone, computer and other IT facilities), you mustonly use these resources for carrying out your Council business and any other activity which your Council has authorised you to use them for.

You must be familiar with the rules made by your Council applying to the use of these resources.

Failure to comply with your Council's rules is likely to amount to a breach of the Code. If you authorise someone (for example a member of your family) to use your Council's resources, you must take care to ensure that this is allowed by your Council's rules.

Using resources for proper purposes only See sub-paragraphs 7(b)(v) and (vi)

You must make sure you use the Council's resources for proper purposes only. These sub-paragraphs <u>apply at all times</u>. It is not appropriate to use, or authorise others to use, the resources for private or political purposes, including party political purposes. When using the Council's resources, you must have regard, if applicable, to any guidance issued by your Council, for example, your Council's Information Security Policy.

Example 25

A member of a County Council was found in breach of the Code for making improper use of his council-owned computer equipment for private purposes by downloading adult pornographic images and sending a number of letters to a local newspaper, which he falsely represented as being from members of the public. The Adjudication Panel found that the member had misused the Council equipment in breach of the Code and had brought the office of member into disrepute.

Reaching decisions objectively See paragraph 8(a)

When taking part in meetings of your Council, or when arriving at decisions relating to the Council's business, you must do so with an open mind and consider the issues objectively. During the decision-making process, you must act fairly and take proper account of the public interest.

Most decisions taken by a community or town council relate to local matters and funding of local projects. Although the amounts of money being spent are smaller than at county level, all decisions must be taken on the basis of the facts in front of you. You must not have made your mind up in advance to such an extent that you are entirely unprepared consider all of the evidence and advice you receive. Having a completely closed mindis known as **pre-determination**. You are entitled to hold a preliminary view about a particular matter in advance of a meeting (**pre-disposition**) as long as you keep an open mind and are prepared to consider the merits of all the arguments and points made about the matter under consideration before reaching your decision.

Pre-determination, on the other hand, would be where you have clearly decided on a course of action in advance of a meeting and are totally unwilling to consider the evidence and arguments presented on that matter during the meeting. Pre-determination could not only invalidate the decision, it would also amount to a breach of the Code.

Considering advice provided to you and giving reasons See paragraph 8(b)

You must give reasons for all decisions in accordance with any legal requirements and any additional requirements imposed by your Council. You must have regard to all of the advice you receive from your Clerk or other officers of the Council. The Clerk is usually also the 'Proper Officer'¹⁰ and it is part of their role to research the policy, guidelines and legislation relevant to advice given when taking decisions.

It is always helpful, if you can, to seek and obtain advice as early as possible. If you can, ask for advice in good time before a meeting, rather than at the meeting or immediately before it starts. Make sure you give the Clerk all of the information they need to take into account when giving you advice.

If you seek advice, or advice is offered to you, for example, on whether you should register a personal interest, you should have regard to this advice before you make up your mind. Failure to do so may be a breach of the Code.

As a matter of good practice, where you disagree with the Clerk's recommendations in making a decision, you should give clear reasons for your decision. If you decide to vote against their advice, you should ensure that your reasons for doing so are recorded in the relevant minutes.

Expenses See paragraph 9(a)

You need to follow the law and your Council's requirements in claiming expenses and allowances. If you are in any doubt about your entitlements, or the proper way to claim, you should ask your Clerk for advice. You need to keep proper records of expenditure, supported by receipts where appropriate, so that you can properly evidence your claims. Even if a particular scheme does not require you to submit receipts, you are stronglyadvised to keep these so that you can prove how much you have actually spent on the items you are claiming for.

¹⁰ The 'proper officer' is an officer appointed by the Council to exercise particular functions (ref: Section 270(3), Local Government Act 1972).

Gifts and hospitality See paragraph 9(b)

It is important that you do not accept any gifts or hospitality for yourself, or on behalf of others, which would place you under obligation or appear to do so. Accepting such gifts or hospitality could be regarded as compromising your objectivity when you make decisions or carry out the work of your Council. This is also true of any services or gifts in kind. This does not prevent you from attending official events such as a civic reception or working lunch where these are authorised by your Council. (See also the section of this guidance on registering gifts and hospitality under paragraph 17 of the Code).

3 Personal and prejudicial interests

The elements of the Code which cover personal and prejudicial interests give rise to many questions from members. They are designed to safeguard the principles of selflessness and objectivity. They are intended to give members of the public confidence that decisions are being taken in their best interests, and not in the best interests of members of authorities or their close personal associates.

Personal interests relate to issues where you or a close personal associate may have some link to a matter under discussion. These interests become prejudicial where an informed independent observer could reasonably conclude that the interest is likely to influence your vote, or your decision.

In my experience, it is the distinction between personal and prejudicial interests, and what action a member should take depending on the nature of their interest, that causes the most difficulty for members. The paragraphs below are designed to offer guidance in this area. I would strongly recommend that if you are in any doubt about whether you have a personal or prejudicial interest, and, if so, what you need to do, you should ask your Clerk for advice. However, the decision on what course of action should be taken remains with you.

To provide some further assistance, I have attached a flowchart to this guidance, based on a document prepared by Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council, which is designed to take you through the questions that you should ask when deciding whether you have an interest. It is for illustration purposes only and is not definitive.

Guidance on registering interests is at Section 4.

Personal Interests See paragraph 10 Do you have a link or close connection to the item to be considered?

While you are carrying out your duties, you must consider whether you have a personal interest and, if so, whether you need to disclose it. Most members know that you need to disclose personal interests at meetings, but as you will read below, there are other occasions, such as when speaking to the Clerk about the matter concerned, when you may also need to do so.

Listed below are some questions that you should ask yourself when deciding if you have an interest:

Do I have a personal interest?

You have a personal interest in any business of your Council, including when making a decision, where it relates to or is likely to affect:

- 1. your job or your business
- 2. your employer, or any firm in which you are a partner or paid director
- 3. any person who has paid towards the cost of your election or your expenses as a member
- any company in which you hold shares with a nominal value of more than £25,000 or where your holding is more than 1% of the total issued share capital, which has premises or land in your Council's area
- 5. any contract that your Council makes with a firm in which you are a partner, paid director or hold shares in (as described in 4, above)
- 6. any land in which you have an interest and which is in your Council's area (this is especially important in all planning matters including strategic plans)
- 7. any land let by your Council to a firm in which you're a partner, paid director or a body (as set out in 4, above)
- 8. any body to which you've been elected, appointed or nominated by your Council
- 9. any of the following in which you have membership or hold a position of general control or management:
 - public authority or body exercising functions of a public nature
 - company, industrial and provident society, charity or body directed to charitable purposes

- body whose main role is influencing public opinion or policy
- trade union or professional association
- private club, society or association operating in your Council's area
- 10. any land in your Council's area which you have a license to occupy for at least 28 days.

It is always safer to declare an interest; however, if in doubt, consult your Clerk or the Monitoring Officer of the Principal Council for area.

Matters affecting your well-being or financial position

If a decision might be seen as affecting your well-being or financial position or the well-being or financial position of any person who lives with you or with whom you have a **close personal association** to a greater extent than other people in your ward, or the Council's area if it does not have multiple wards, you have a personal interest.

Examples of decisions of this kind include obvious issues like contracts being awarded to your partner's company, but also issues about the location of developments, where it might make a big difference to where you or your close personal associates live. Examples have included the location of playgrounds, where elected members have opposed them near their houses because of issues about noise.

What is "a body exercising functions of a public nature"?

The phrase "a body exercising functions of a public nature" has been subject to broad interpretation by the courts for a variety of different purposes. Although it is not possible to produce a definitive list of such bodies, here are some of the criteria to consider when deciding whether or not a body meets that definition:

- Does that body carry out a public service?
- Is the function exercised under legislation or according to some statutory power?
- Can the body be judicially reviewed?

When conducting community or town council business, it is likely that you will be acting on a body which is exercising functions of a public nature. You may also be doing this if you have been appointed to act on behalf of the Council on a community project or interest group.

What does "affecting well-being or financial position" mean?

The term 'well-being' can be described as a condition of contentedness and happiness. Anything that could affect your quality of life, either positively or negatively, is likely to affect your well-being. A personal interest can affect you or your close personal associates positively and negatively. So, if you or they have the potential to gain or lose from a matter under consideration, you need to declare a personal interest in both situations.

Who is a close personal associate?

Close personal associates include friends, relatives, business associates and those with whom you have been in dispute

Close personal associates include people such as close friends, colleagues with whom you have particularly strong connections, business associates and close relatives. It does not include casual acquaintances, distant relatives or people you simply come in contact with through your role as a member or your work in the local community.

Close personal associates can also include someone with whom you have been in dispute, or whom you may be regarded as having an interest in disadvantaging. For example, being a member of the same golf club as another person would not of itself constitute a close personal association, but having that person as a weekly golf partner might well do. If you are in doubt, you should ask your Clerk or the Monitoring Officer of the Principal Council.

"Twin hatted" members

If you are a member of both a community or town council and a county or county borough council, you are not prevented from discussing the same matters at both. You may, for example, take part in a discussion about a planning application about which your Community or Town Council has been consulted and still go on to participate in a decision about the application if you sit on the Planning Committee of your County Council.

If you do so, you would be well advised to state at the Community or Town Council meeting that you would be looking at the matter afresh when you consider it at the Planning Committee meeting, and that you would take into account all of the information and advice provided to you. At the Planning Committee, you should make it clear that you are not bound by the views of the Community or Town Council. The advice about objective decision making in respect of paragraph 8 of the Code is also relevant here.

Obviously, if the planning application was one submitted by the Community or Town Council, then you would have both a personal and a prejudicial interest, and you would be required to declare it and withdraw in line with the guidance on "what to do if you have a prejudicial interest" below.

Example 26

A member of a Community Council was found in breach of the Code for failing to declare a personal and prejudicial interest at a meeting which considered the Clerk's remuneration package. The member and the Clerk were in a relationship and engaged to be married at the time. The Adjudication Panel found that the member should have declared a personal interest in the item of business by virtue of his close personal association with the Clerk. It considered also that the nature of the member's relationship with the Clerk was one that gave rise to a prejudicial interest, as it concerned a significant benefit for the future spouse. The Adjudication Panel considered that the interest was one that would affect public perception of the members' ability to make a decision in the public interest. The Adjudication Panel reiterated that the test was not whether the member took the decision without prejudice, but whether he would have been seen as doing so.

What if I am not aware of my personal interest?

Your obligation to disclose a personal interest to a meeting only applies when you are aware of **or reasonably ought to be aware** of the existence of the personal interest. Clearly, you cannot be expected to declare something of which you are unaware. It would be impractical to expect you to research into the employment, business interests and other activities of all your close associates and relatives. However, you should not ignore the existence of interests which, from the point of view of a reasonable and objective observer, you should have been aware.

What to do when you have a personal interest See paragraph 11

Once disclosed you can stay & participate if your interest is not prejudicial

When you have a personal interest in any business of your Council, you **must** disclose the existence and nature of the interest before participating (unless it is also a prejudicial interest) in any business to which it relates. How you do this will depend on the circumstances in which the business is being transacted.

If you are attending a **meeting**,¹¹ you must disclose the interest orally to that meeting before or at the commencement of the consideration of the relevant business at the meeting, or at the point the interest becomes apparent. If this is the first time you have disclosed the interest during your current term of office, you must confirm it in writing before or immediately after the close of the meeting, in accordance with arrangements set out by your Council's Clerk. As a minimum, you need to say in writing what the interest is, what business considered by the meeting it relates to and you need to sign it.

If you are making **written representations** (including by email, text etc) to a member or officer of your Council regarding any matter in which you have a personal interest, you should include details of the interest in that correspondence.

Similarly, if you are making **oral representations** (whether in person, by telephone or video-conference etc) you should disclose the interest at the commencement of those representations, or when the interest becomes apparent. I would generally expect officers to make a record of any conversation in which a member has disclosed an interest and attach it to the appropriate file. However, it remains your responsibility under the Code (paragraph 11(2)(b)) to confirm the oral representations and details of the personal interest disclosed by you in writing within 14 days.

Key point: You must disclose the existence and nature of a personal interest in the way set out above on <u>every</u> occasion before you participate in the business to which it relates, regardless of whether you have previously registered the interest. This ensures that everyone present, including members of the public or other observers are aware of your interest.

¹¹ The definition of 'meeting' in paragraph 1(1) of the Code is very broad and includes any meeting where members or officers are present, not just formal meetings of the council. For example, it can include an informal meeting of a member and officer.

If the Monitoring Officer of the principal council for the area has agreed that the information about your personal interest is **sensitive information**, then you should disclose the existence of a personal interest (but not its nature), and confirm that the Monitoring Officer has agreed that the information about it is sensitive. More information about this is included in the separate section on paragraph 16 of the Code below.

If you declare a personal interest, you can remain in the meeting, speak and vote on the matter, **unless your personal interest is also a prejudicial interest**. What constitutes a prejudicial interest is outlined in the following section.

Example 27

I investigated a complaint that a member of a Town Council attempted to use his position to derail a 'Community Hub' project because, within the Hub, there would be a social club serving food and drink and this would affect the member's business – a nearby pub/restaurant. The member had also previously been in a business relationship with one of the parties to the Community Hub project, which had ended acrimoniously. Historic minutes of the Council's meetings showed that the member had disclosed a personal interest in the project and had not attended meetings due this being a prejudicial interest. However, at a later meeting of the Council the member did not disclose the existence and nature of his interest and did not withdraw from consideration of the project when it was discussed. This was despite the Clerk's advice that it was likely he had an interest in the matter under discussion. A Standards Committee found that the member had failed to disclose the existence and nature of a personal interest, in breach of paragraph 11(1) of the Code. The Committee further found that the interest was a prejudicial interest and, as the member had failed to withdraw from the meeting, he had also breached paragraph 14(1).

Prejudicial Interests See paragraph 12

Do not be swayed by what you think - consider what a member of the public would reasonably think

Do I have a prejudicial interest?

Your personal interest will also be a prejudicial interest in a matter if a member of the public, who knows the relevant facts, would reasonably think your personal interest is so significant that it is likely to prejudice your judgement of the public interest. There are exemptions to this which are contained in paragraph 12(2) of the Code, although many of them are unlikely to apply to business undertaken by a community or town council.

What is so significant that it is likely to prejudice your judgement?

If a reasonable member of the public with knowledge of all the relevant facts would think that your judgement of the public interest might be prejudiced, then you have a prejudicial interest. This is **an objective test**. You must decide <u>not</u> whether you would take the decision without prejudice, but whether you would be seen as doing so.

You must ask yourself whether **a member of the public**, if he or she knew all the relevant facts, would think that your personal interest was so significant that it would be likely to prejudice your judgement. In other words, the interest must be perceived as likely to harm or impair your ability to judge the public interest.

The mere existence of local knowledge, or connections within the local community, will not normally be sufficient to meet the test. There must be some factor that might positively harm your ability to judge the public interest objectively. The nature of the matter is also important, including whether a large number of people are equally affected by it or whether you or a smaller group are particularly affected.

Some general principles must be remembered when applying this test. You should clearly act in the public interest and not in the interests of any close personal associates. You are a custodian of the public purse and the public interest and your behaviour and decisions should reflect this responsibility.

You would have a prejudicial interest in the consideration and decision on whether to support a planning application proposal if a close personal associate of yours (for example your son or a good friend) lives next to the proposed site. This is because your close personal associate would be likely to be affected by the application to a greater extent than the majority of the inhabitants of your ward or Council area (if your Council does not have wards) and this gives you a personal interest in the issue. The close personal association means a reasonable member of the public might think that it would prejudice your view of the public interest when considering the planning application. **It does not matter whether it actually would or not.**

In other cases, where there has been a dispute between you and an individual who could be disadvantaged by a decision, an informed reasonable member of the public might conclude that you would be influenced by this when voting, whether this is the case or not.

Community councillors do not have a prejudicial interest in decisions made by their Council in respect of grants, loans or other financial assistance to community groups or voluntary organisations where the value does not exceed £500. Furthermore, community councillors who have been appointed to the community group or voluntary organisation concerned by their Community Council, for example, to the board of a community hall, will not have a prejudicial interest in decisions made by their Council in respect of any grants, loans or other financial assistance in relation to that body. If, on the other hand, you are on such a board in another capacity and have not been appointed by your Council, then you will have a prejudicialinterest.

What to do when you have a prejudicial interest See paragraph 14

If you have a prejudicial interest in any aspect your Council's business you must not take part in the consideration of that business, or make representations about it, except in the circumstances described below.

Nevertheless, even where you have a prejudicial interest, the Code supports your role as a community advocate and enables you in certain circumstances to represent your community and to speak on issues important to them and to you.

Key point: If you have a **prejudicial interest** in a matter being discussed at a meeting, you must, having declared your personal interest in the matter, leave the room, chamber or place where the meeting is being held (including, for example, the location of a site meeting).

This is unless you have obtained a dispensation from the relevant standards committee, or when members of the public are allowed to make representations, give evidence or answer questions about the matter, by statutory right or otherwise. If that the latter is the case, you can also attend the meeting for that purpose, or you may submit written representations to the public meeting in accordance with any procedure adopted by your Council for this purpose. However, where you attend a meeting you must immediately leave the

room or chamber once the period for considering representations has finished, and before any discussion on the item begins, even if members of the public are allowed to remain. You cannot, for example, remain in the public gallery to observe the discussion or vote on the matter as your very presence could influence the decision, or be perceived by a reasonable member of the public as doing so.

In addition, you must not seek to influence a decision in which you have a **prejudicial interest**. This rule is similar to your general obligation not to use your position as a member improperly to your or someone else's advantage or disadvantage. This means that, as well as leaving meetings where the item is discussed, you must also not write or make any oral representations about the matter, except in the circumstances above relating to representations by the public.

Example 28

A member of a Community Council who owned a property next to a caravan and camping park attended a meeting of the Council when a planning application by the owner of the park was considered. The member had previously raised concerns with the relevant planning authority about a number of alleged breaches of planning permission by the owner of the park over a number of years. The member declared a personal interest and spoke at the Community Council meeting, setting out the background to the application, details of alleged previous breaches and commenting on the application itself; and voted against the application.

The Adjudication Panel found that the member's interest in the planning application was also a prejudicial interest and she should have withdrawn from the meeting. The close proximity of the member's home to the caravan and camping park, combined with the numerous concerns raised by the member regarding alleged breaches of planning controls, were facts that a member of the public could reasonably regard as so significant that they were likely to prejudice the member's judgement of the public interest. The Adjudication Panel found the member had sought to influence a decision regarding a matter in which she had a prejudicial interest in breach of paragraphs 14(1)(a), (c) and (e).

Do I have a statutory right to speak to the meeting?

The Code does not provide you with a general right to speak to a meeting where you have a prejudicial interest. The Code aims to provide members with the same rights as ordinary members of the public to speak on certain matters in meetings, despite having a prejudicial interest. These rights are usually governed by your Council's constitution, procedure rules or standing orders, and may be subject to conditions including time limits or the fact that representations can only be made in writing.

If an ordinary member of the public would be allowed to speak to a meeting about an item, you should be provided with the same opportunity. You will be able to make representations, answer questions or give evidence, even if you have a prejudicial interest in the item. The Code also provides the right to submit written representations to the public meeting in these circumstances. You may not, however, take part in the discussion or observe the vote.

When must I leave the place where the meeting is held?

You must withdraw from a meeting before, or as soon as it becomes apparent that, business in which you have a prejudicial interest is being considered.

If you are attending a meeting to make representations in the same way as an ordinary member of the public, you must leave immediately after the time for making representations, giving evidence or answering questions is finished, and before any debate starts.

What does influencing a decision mean?

You must not make any representations or have any involvement with decisions in which you have a prejudicial interest, except where you are entitled to speak as described above. Your presence itself could be perceived to be capable of influencing the decision-making process. You should also take the advice of your Clerk before asking another member to speak about a matter for which you have a prejudicial interest. Dependent upon the circumstances, this could be viewed as seeking inappropriately to influence a decision in breach of the Code.

Example 29

A member of a County Borough Council made representations on behalf of, and sought preferential treatment for, a close personal associate who was being threatened with removal as a local authority governor on a school governing body due to improper conduct. In so doing, the member did not avail himself of the normal complaints process, but undertook a course of conduct which involved making allegations against officers of the Council, disclosing confidential information and making a series of representations on behalf of his associate. In addition to breaches of other paragraphs of the Code, the Adjudication Panel found that the member had sought to influence decisions on a matter in which he had a prejudicial interest when he made written and oral representations to officers of the Council, in breach of paragraphs 14(1)(c) and (d).

Example 30

A Standards Committee found that a member of a Town Council with a personal and prejudicial interest sought to influence a decision about a project being considered by the Council, when he participated in a discussion at a Council meeting, in breach of paragraphs 14(1)(a) and (c) of the Code. It also found that the member's participation in the discussion constituted oral representations in breach of paragraph 14(1)(d); and he had made written representations to the Clerk and the Welsh Government in an attempt to derail the project, in breach of paragraph 14(1)(e).

What if the public are not allowed to speak to the meeting on the matter?

If an ordinary member of the public is not allowed to speak on the matter, you cannot do so or submit written representations if you have a prejudicial interest. You must leave the place where the debate is being held and not seek to influence the debate in any way.

This may be the case, for example, where your Council is discussing a confidential matter in closed session or does not have procedure rules or standing orders in place that allow members of the public to speak at a meeting of your Council. Like the public, you are not allowed to participate if you have a prejudicial interest. However, whereas the public may be allowed to sit in the public gallery to observe the meeting, **you must leave the room during the debate and vote**.

Example 31

A member of a Community Council was found in breach of the Code for failing to declare a personal and prejudicial interest at a meeting which considered a planning application for a wind farm on land adjacent to a farm owned by her. The member had entered into a Lease of Rights agreement over her land to facilitate access to the proposed development. The member initially relied on the fact that this agreement contained a confidentiality clause to explain her actions. Nonetheless, the member participated in a secret ballot held in order to decide whether the Community Council would support or oppose the application.

Immediately prior to the hearing before the Adjudication Panel the member accepted that she had a personal interest in the item and later that it was prejudicial in nature. The Adjudication Panel found that the member had failed to comply with paragraphs 11(1) and 14(1) of the Code. It considered that she had allowed her personal interests to prevail and to keep those private conflicted with her duties and responsibilities as an elected member.

Dispensations

If I have a prejudicial interest, can I obtain a dispensation to allow me to take part in the meeting?

Standards committees have powers under regulations¹² made by the National Assembly for Wales (as it was known at the time) to grant dispensations to members with prejudicial interests, enabling them to speak and / or vote on a matter, in certain circumstances.

You can apply in writing to the Principal Council's Standards Committee for a dispensation on one or more of the following grounds:

- at least 50 per cent of the Council or Committee members would be prevented from taking a full part in a meeting because of prejudicial interests
- the nature of your interest is such that your participation would not harm public

¹² Standards Committees (Grant of Dispensations) (Wales) Regulations 2001, SI 2001 No. 2279 (W.169)

- confidence
- your interest is common to a significant proportion of the general public
- you have a particular role or expertise which would justify your participation
- the business relates to the finances or property of a voluntary organisation and you sit on its board or committee in your own right and you do not have any other interest, although in this instance, any dispensation will not let you vote on the matter
- the Committee believes that your participation would be in the interests of the people in your Council's area
- the Committee considers it otherwise appropriate in all the circumstances. For example, where it was not otherwise possible to make reasonable adjustments to accommodate a person's disability, a dispensation may enable the member to remain present in a meeting without participating in the business.

You can apply for a dispensation individually and, in certain circumstances, you can make joint applications where a number of members want to obtain a dispensation to speak or vote on the same matter. If the Standards Committee approves your application, it must grant the dispensation in writing and before the meeting is held. If you need a dispensation, you should apply for one as soon as is reasonably possible.

Only the Standards Committee can grant the dispensation and will do so at its discretion. The Standards Committee will need to balance the public interest in preventing members with prejudicial interests from taking part in decisions, against the public interest in decisions being taken by a reasonably representative group of members of the Council. If failure to grant a dispensation will result in a council or committee not achieving a quorum, this may well constitute grounds for granting a dispensation.

Where you hold a dispensation, you can also make written representations but you must provide details of the dispensation in any correspondence. If you make oral representations, whether in person or by phone, you must refer to the dispensation and confirm this in writing within 14 days.

4 Registration of Personal Interests

See paragraph 15 Key points

Community and town councils are required to maintain and publish electronically a record of its members' interests in a public register of interests. It must also be available for public inspection at reasonable hours. This record is maintained by the 'Proper Officer', usually your Clerk.

Unlike members of principal councils and other relevant authorities, as a community councillor you do not need to register pecuniary and other interests set out in paragraph 10(2)(a) of the Code upon taking up office. However, you may find that your Council has adopted this requirement as a matter of good practice.

You must, however, register any personal interest which you disclose for the first time under paragraph 11 of the Code, for example at a meeting or in written or oral representations, by giving written notice to your Council's Clerk. As indicated in the guidance on paragraph 11 of the Code, your Clerk will have arrangements in place for this. Even when you have registered a personal interest, you must still disclose the existence and nature of the interest each and every time before you participate in any business to which it relates.

Where you become aware of a change to a registered personal interest, you must register that change by providing written notice to your Clerk within 28 days.

The register is a document that can be consulted when (or before) an issue arises, and so allows others to know what interests you have, and whether they might give rise to a possible conflict of interest.

The register also protects you. You are responsible for deciding whether you should declare an interest in a meeting, but it can be helpful for you to know early on if others think that a potential conflict might arise. It is also important that the public know about any interest that might have to be declared by you or other members, so that decision making is seen by the public as open and honest. This helps to ensure that public confidence in the integrity of local governance is maintained.

Sensitive information See Paragraph 16

Key points

You may be exempt from having to disclose and register certain information in your Council's register of interests if the Monitoring Officer of the Principal Council for the area agrees that it is 'sensitive information'.

'Sensitive information' is information the disclose of which is likely to create a serious risk of violence or intimidation against you or someone who lives with you, should it become public knowledge. This may include, for example, details of your employment (such as certain scientific research or the Special Forces).

You should provide this information to the Monitoring Officer and explain your concerns regarding the disclosure of the sensitive information; including why it is likely to create a serious risk that you or a person who lives with you will be subjected to violence or intimidation. If the Monitoring Officer has agreed your personal interest in a matter under discussion at a meeting is sensitive information, you will need to declare that you have a personal interest, but you will not have to give any details about the nature of that interest.

If, following a change of circumstances, the information excluded from the register of interests ceases to be sensitive information, you must notify your Council's Clerk within 28 days asking them to include the information in the register.

Gifts and hospitality See Paragraph 17

Key points

You must notify your Clerk of any gifts or hospitality worth more than the amount specified by your Council that you receive in connection with your official duties as a member, and the source of the gift or hospitality, within 28 days. Like other interests in your register of interests, you may have a personal interest in a matter under consideration if it is likely to affect a person who gave you a gift or hospitality that is registered. If that is the case, you must declare the existence and nature of the gift or hospitality, the person who gave it to you, how the business under consideration relates to that person, and then decide whether that interest is also a prejudicial interest. It is also good practice to provide a note of any offers of gifts or hospitality which you have declined and this may be a requirement of your Council's gifts and hospitality policy.

Is the gift or hospitality connected to my official duties as a member?

You should ask yourself, "would I have been given this if I was not on the Council?" If you are in doubt as to the motive behind a gift or hospitality, I recommend that you register it or speak to your Clerk.

You do not need to notify your Clerk of gifts and hospitality which are not related to your role as a member, such as Christmas gifts from your friends and family, or gifts which you do not accept (unless required to do so by your Council). However, you should always notify your Clerk of any gift or hospitality if it could be perceived as something given to you because of your position or if your Council requires you to do so.

What if I do not know the value of a gift or hospitality?

The general rule is, if in doubt as to the value of a gift or hospitality, you should notify your Clerk of it, as a matter of good practice and in accordance with the principles of openness and accountability in public life.


You may have to estimate how much a gift or hospitality is worth. Also, an accumulation of small gifts you receive from the same source over a short period that add up to the value specified by your Council or over should be registered.

The Code also refers to material benefit or advantage. The measure of this would be if an informed independent observer could conclude that you might be perceived to be better off as a consequence.

Appendix

Declaration of personal and prejudicial interests

Questions to ask yourself. If in doubt you should ask your Clerk or your Monitoring Officer.



Contact us

Public Services Ombudsman for Wales 1 Ffordd yr Hen Gae Pencoed CF35 5LJ

Tel:0300 790 0203Fax:01656 641199Email:ask@ombudsman.walesFollow us on Twitter:@OmbudsmanWales

Further information about the service offered by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales can also be found at <u>http://www.ombudsman.wales</u>